

# Gemini 3.1 Pro rolls out as agentic coding risks, eval disputes, and compute scarcity sharpen

AI News Digest

2026-02-20

## Gemini 3.1 Pro rolls out as agentic coding risks, eval disputes, and compute scarcity sharpen

*By AI News Digest • February 20, 2026*

Google’s Gemini 3.1 Pro leads today’s digest with a large ARC-AGI-2 jump and rapid rollout across consumer, developer, and enterprise surfaces—plus workflow-heavy demos (city planning, CAD-to-analysis, SVGs). Also: post-IDE agent tooling, rising concerns about compute scarcity and tool-calling security, and a fresh wave of eval/governance commentary from India summit speakers and standards groups.

### Gemini 3.1 Pro ships: benchmark jump + broad rollout

#### Gemini 3.1 Pro hits 77.1% on ARC-AGI-2

Google leaders say **Gemini 3.1 Pro** reaches **77.1% on ARC-AGI-2**, described as **more than 2×** Gemini 3 Pro’s performance and a step forward in core reasoning<sup>123</sup>. DeepMind adds that ARC-AGI-2 tests **novel logic patterns** and that the model is aimed at workflows “where a simple answer isn’t enough”<sup>45</sup>.

**Why it matters:** This is one of the clearest “headline” reasoning deltas in a mainstream model launch, and it immediately feeds into ongoing questions about what different evals actually capture (see “Evals” below).<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> post by @sundarpichai

<sup>2</sup> post by @demishassabis

<sup>3</sup> post by @JeffDean

<sup>4</sup> post by @GoogleDeepMind

<sup>5</sup> post by @GoogleDeepMind

<sup>6</sup>r/LocalLLM post by u/snakemas

### Availability: Gemini App, NotebookLM, API preview, and enterprise

Google says Gemini 3.1 Pro is rolling out across multiple surfaces: - **Gemini App** <sup>78</sup> - **NotebookLM** (exclusive to Google AI Pro/Ultra users) <sup>9</sup> - **Developers** via Gemini API preview in **Google AI Studio** <sup>1011</sup> - **Enterprises** via **Vertex AI** and **Gemini Enterprise** <sup>12</sup>

Perplexity also upgraded **Gemini 3 Pro** → **Gemini 3.1 Pro** for all Pro/Max users (consumer and enterprise), and says it’s the **second most picked** model by its enterprise customers after the Claude 4.5 Sonnet/Opus family <sup>13</sup>.

**Why it matters:** Distribution is not confined to one product—Google is pushing the same model into consumer, developer, and enterprise channels in parallel, with immediate third-party adoption. <sup>1415</sup>

### Demos: city planning, CAD → analysis, and SVG generation

Google and DeepMind showcased several “complex workflow” examples:

- A **city planner** app where the model handles **complex terrain**, maps infrastructure, simulates **traffic**, and produces visualizations <sup>16</sup>. Jeff Dean also shared an urban planning simulation example for designing new cities <sup>17</sup>.
- A “Deep Think” workflow (described as **no tools**, using Deep Think + image generation) that: generates a **CAD file from a technical drawing**, runs **heat transfer analysis**, and turns results into **time-step visualizations** <sup>181920</sup>.
- Improved **SVG generation**, including examples of prompt-to-SVG and follow-up edits <sup>2122</sup>. Another demo claims Gemini 3.1 Pro can generate **web-ready animated SVGs** from text prompts <sup>23</sup>.

**Why it matters:** The messaging is less “chat answerer” and more “workflow engine”—including structured artifacts (CAD/SVG) and multi-step analy-

---

<sup>7</sup> post by @GoogleDeepMind

<sup>8</sup> post by @GoogleDeepMind

<sup>9</sup> post by @GoogleDeepMind

<sup>10</sup> post by @GoogleDeepMind

<sup>11</sup> post by @sundarpichai

<sup>12</sup> post by @sundarpichai

<sup>13</sup> post by @AravSrinivas

<sup>14</sup> post by @sundarpichai

<sup>15</sup> post by @AravSrinivas

<sup>16</sup> post by @GoogleDeepMind

<sup>17</sup> post by @JeffDean

<sup>18</sup> post by @JeffDean

<sup>19</sup> post by @JeffDean

<sup>20</sup> post by @JeffDean

<sup>21</sup> post by @OriolVinyalsML

<sup>22</sup> post by @OriolVinyalsML

<sup>23</sup> post by @addyosmani

sis/visualization. <sup>2425</sup>

## Agentic engineering: post-IDE tools, compute constraints, and new security pitfalls

### “Post-IDE” agent development environments (ADEs) keep solidifying

@swyx argues the shift to **post-IDE agentic development environments** is now “here,” pointing to Augment’s **Intent** as a consolidation of multiple code-agent management ideas (while not locking users into a single in-house agent) <sup>26</sup>.

**Why it matters:** The competitive surface is moving from model quality alone to *how agents are orchestrated and managed* in day-to-day engineering workflows. <sup>27</sup>

### Agentic coding as “machine learning,” with ML-style failure modes

Francois Chollet frames sufficiently advanced agentic coding as essentially **machine learning**: engineers define an optimization goal + constraints (spec/tests), agents iterate, and the result is a **black-box codebase** often deployed without inspecting internal logic <sup>28</sup>. He warns classic ML issues will show up: **overfitting to specs**, “Clever Hans” shortcuts, data leakage, and concept drift <sup>29</sup>.

**Why it matters:** If codebases start to resemble trained artifacts, teams may need higher-level abstractions to steer “codebase training” and to manage reliability beyond conventional code review. <sup>3031</sup>

### Inference compute is becoming an explicit productivity bottleneck

Greg Brockman says **the inference compute available to you** will increasingly drive software productivity <sup>32</sup>. He highlights an interview trend: candidates are being asked how much **dedicated inference compute** they will have for building with Codex, as usage per user grows faster than the user count—suggesting compute scarcity <sup>3334</sup>.

---

<sup>24</sup> post by @JeffDean

<sup>25</sup> post by @OriolVinyalsML

<sup>26</sup> post by @swyx

<sup>27</sup> post by @swyx

<sup>28</sup> post by @fchollet

<sup>29</sup> post by @fchollet

<sup>30</sup> post by @fchollet

<sup>31</sup> post by @fchollet

<sup>32</sup> post by @gdb

<sup>33</sup> post by @gdb

<sup>34</sup> post by @thsottiaux

**Why it matters:** If teams treat inference capacity as a primary constraint, “agent throughput” could become a core planning variable alongside headcount and budgets. <sup>35</sup>

#### **Tool-calling vulnerability: models may invoke tools you didn’t provide**

Jeremy Howard points to a tool-calling issue where an LLM given a list of tools it’s allowed to call might decide to call a tool **you didn’t provide** <sup>36</sup>. He says this impacts major labs (Anthropic, xAI, Gemini) and “all major US providers except OpenAI,” advising developers to **check tool call requests** <sup>3738</sup>.

**Why it matters:** As agents get more permissions, tool invocation becomes an access-control boundary—and failures here can turn “helpful automation” into unauthorized actions. <sup>3940</sup>

#### **Evals, governance, and “what’s actually happening in the world”**

##### **The eval mismatch shows up immediately: ARC-AGI-2 vs Arena (and “saturated” tests)**

A LocalLLM post notes Gemini 3.1 Pro “just doubled its ARC-AGI-2 score,” while **Arena still ranks Claude higher**, calling it “exactly the AI eval problem” <sup>41</sup>. Separately, a thread comments that a named eval was “saturated,” with criticism that lab leaders publicly tweeting about an eval implies it was (at minimum informally) targeted <sup>4243</sup>.

**Why it matters:** Model comparisons are increasingly gated by *which* benchmark you trust—and by whether the evaluation itself stays robust under optimization pressure. <sup>4445</sup>

##### **Government/standards groups push toward private testing + decision-linked benchmarks**

From a panel on international evaluation practices, Sarah Hooker argues benchmarks are in a “**muddy middle**”: static, quickly overfit, and often gamified—

---

<sup>35</sup> post by @thsottiaux

<sup>36</sup> post by @jeremyphoward

<sup>37</sup> post by @jeremyphoward

<sup>38</sup> post by @jeremyphoward

<sup>39</sup> post by @PiotrCzapla

<sup>40</sup> post by @jeremyphoward

<sup>41</sup><sub>r</sub>/LocalLLM post by u/snakemas

<sup>42</sup> post by @HamelHusain

<sup>43</sup> post by @swyx

<sup>44</sup><sub>r</sub>/LocalLLM post by u/snakemas

<sup>45</sup>Best practices from the International Network for Advanced AI Measurement, Evaluation and Science.

supporting a return to **private test sets** and no-notice testing <sup>4647</sup>. She also argues benchmarks should guide decisions—otherwise you’re “just collecting data” <sup>48</sup>.

**Why it matters:** As governments embed AI deeper into critical systems, evaluation regimes may shift toward private, operationally-relevant testing rather than public leaderboards. <sup>4950</sup>

### Anthropic scales its “Societal Impacts” team

Anthropic says it’s “aggressively scaling up” its **Societal Impacts** team as models begin having “non-trivial impacts on the world” <sup>51</sup>. The team focuses on testing properties, building observation tools, and generalizing them across the org, including work supporting the **Anthropic Economic Index** and studying agents “in the wild” <sup>5253</sup>.

**Why it matters:** This is a sign that post-deployment measurement and feedback loops are becoming a first-class capability alongside model development. <sup>54</sup>

### India summit signals: competing timelines, diffusion focus, and coordination proposals

**Altman: democratization, disruption, and an IAEA-like coordination concept**

Sam Altman says OpenAI believes it may be “only a couple of years away” from early versions of **true superintelligence**, with the caveat they could be wrong; he adds that by **end of 2028**, more of the world’s intellectual capacity “could reside inside of data centers” than outside <sup>55</sup>. He also calls for something “like the **IAEA**” for international coordination of AI with the ability to respond rapidly to changing circumstances <sup>56</sup>.

---

<sup>46</sup>Best practices from the International Network for Advanced AI Measurement, Evaluation and Science.

<sup>47</sup>Best practices from the International Network for Advanced AI Measurement, Evaluation and Science.

<sup>48</sup>Best practices from the International Network for Advanced AI Measurement, Evaluation and Science.

<sup>49</sup>Best practices from the International Network for Advanced AI Measurement, Evaluation and Science.

<sup>50</sup> post by @cstanley

<sup>51</sup> post by @jackclarkSF

<sup>52</sup> post by @jackclarkSF

<sup>53</sup> post by @jackclarkSF

<sup>54</sup> post by @jackclarkSF

<sup>55</sup>OpenAI’s Sam Altman lauds India’s AI progress, warns of superintelligence tipping point

<sup>56</sup>OpenAI’s Sam Altman Bats For Democratisation of AI, Not Centralisation: Altman | N18V | CNBC TV18



*OpenAI's Sam Altman lauds India's AI progress, warns of superintelligence tipping point (1:05)*

**Why it matters:** This pairs aggressive capability timelines with explicit institutional proposals for cross-border coordination, reflecting how fast “governance architecture” is being pulled into mainstream leadership messaging. <sup>57</sup>

**Bengio: global, UN-rooted science-policy interface and “policy lag” risk**

Yoshua Bengio argues AI capabilities are growing rapidly but unevenly, while scientific studies and policy processes create a lag that can become dangerous if things move too fast <sup>58</sup>. He highlights the importance of a UN-rooted international panel and multidisciplinary work so “everyone is at the table and no one is on the menu” <sup>59</sup>.

**Why it matters:** The emphasis is less on settling predictions and more on building mechanisms that can act under uncertainty—especially for high-severity risks. <sup>60</sup>

<sup>57</sup>OpenAI's Sam Altman Bats For Democratisation of AI, Not Centralisation: Altman | N18V | CNBC TV18

<sup>58</sup>FULL DISCUSSION: AI Pioneer Bengio Talks Safety, Policy, and Global Impact at India Summit | AQ1B

<sup>59</sup>FULL DISCUSSION: AI Pioneer Bengio Talks Safety, Policy, and Global Impact at India Summit | AQ1B

<sup>60</sup>FULL DISCUSSION: AI Pioneer Bengio Talks Safety, Policy, and Global Impact at India

## Product + platform moves worth tracking

### Microsoft adds xAI's Grok 4.1 Fast to Copilot Studio

Microsoft says it's adding **xAI's Grok 4.1 Fast** to the multi-model lineup in **Copilot Studio**, positioning it as more choice/flexibility for building custom agents <sup>61</sup>. Elon Musk also says **Grok 4.20** is "coming soon" <sup>62</sup>.

**Why it matters:** Multi-model "agent builders" are turning model choice into a platform feature—shifting competition toward orchestration, governance, and enterprise packaging. <sup>63</sup>

### Perplexity: Comet iOS pre-order + Finance auditability into SEC filings

Perplexity's CEO says **Comet** (an iOS AI personal assistant/browser) is nearly ready and available for **pre-order**, aiming for a "Safari grade browser" with Perplexity powering each webpage and providing assistance <sup>64</sup>. Separately, Perplexity Finance now includes **tap-through auditability** to SEC filings, pre-scrolled to the page where a cited line item appears <sup>65</sup>.

**Why it matters:** "Answer engines" are pushing deeper into verifiable workflows (finance audit trails) while also experimenting with new assistant-native browsing surfaces. <sup>6667</sup>

## Research notes (fast scans)

### Interpretability: "Cheap Anchor V2" predicts circuit edge importance from weights alone

A MachineLearning subreddit post reports "Cheap Anchor V2," which predicts causal edge importance in GPT-2 small's induction circuit using a composite of **discrimination** (spectral concentration) and **cascade depth** (downstream path weight) <sup>6869</sup>. It reports **Spearman = 0.623** vs. path-patching ground truth with a **125× speedup** (2s vs 250s), beating weight magnitude and gradient attribution baselines <sup>7071</sup>.

**Why it matters:** If reproducible, this suggests a cheaper pre-filter for mechanistic interpretability—scoring many candidate edges before spending expensive

---

Summit | AQ1B

<sup>61</sup> post by @satyanadella

<sup>62</sup> post by @elonmusk

<sup>63</sup> post by @satyanadella

<sup>64</sup> post by @AravSrinivas

<sup>65</sup> post by @jeffgrimes9

<sup>66</sup> post by @jeffgrimes9

<sup>67</sup> post by @AravSrinivas

<sup>68</sup> r/MachineLearning post by u/IfUDontLikeBigRedFU

<sup>69</sup> r/MachineLearning post by u/IfUDontLikeBigRedFU

<sup>70</sup> r/MachineLearning post by u/IfUDontLikeBigRedFU

<sup>71</sup> r/MachineLearning post by u/IfUDontLikeBigRedFU

intervention compute. <sup>72</sup>

### Open neuromorphic processors: Catalyst N1/N2 claim Loihi parity + FPGA validation

A separate post introduces **Catalyst N1 & N2**, open neuromorphic processors aiming for feature parity with Intel Loihi generations, with N2 adding **programmable neurons** (five shipped models) and reporting FPGA integration tests with zero failures <sup>7374</sup>. It reports **85.9%** SHD accuracy (float) and **85.4%** (16-bit) <sup>75</sup>.

**Why it matters:** This is a notable “open hardware + full stack” claim (papers, SDK, FPGA tests) in a space typically dominated by proprietary chips and platforms. <sup>7677</sup>

### Small open-weight multilingual model: Tiny Aya (3.35B)

Sebastian Raschka highlights **Tiny Aya** (3.35B) from Cohere as a small open-weight model with strong multilingual support in its size class, suitable for on-device translation <sup>78</sup>. He calls out architectural choices like **parallel transformer blocks**, **sliding window attention** (4096 window; 3:1 local:global), and a modified **LayerNorm** without bias <sup>798081</sup>.

**Why it matters:** Continued innovation in small-model architecture suggests the “open + on-device” track is still moving quickly alongside frontier scaling. <sup>82</sup>

---

## Sources

1. post by @sundarpichai
2. post by @demishassabis
3. post by @JeffDean
4. post by @GoogleDeepMind
5. r/LocalLLM post by u/snakemas
6. post by @GoogleDeepMind
7. post by @GoogleDeepMind

---

<sup>72</sup>r/MachineLearning post by u/IfUDontLikeBigRedFU

<sup>73</sup>r/MachineLearning post by u/Mr-wabbit0

<sup>74</sup>r/MachineLearning post by u/Mr-wabbit0

<sup>75</sup>r/MachineLearning post by u/Mr-wabbit0

<sup>76</sup>r/MachineLearning post by u/Mr-wabbit0

<sup>77</sup>r/MachineLearning post by u/Mr-wabbit0

<sup>78</sup> post by @rasbt

<sup>79</sup> post by @rasbt

<sup>80</sup> post by @rasbt

<sup>81</sup> post by @rasbt

<sup>82</sup> post by @rasbt



8. post by @AravSrinivas
9. post by @GoogleDeepMind
10. post by @JeffDean
11. post by @JeffDean
12. post by @JeffDean
13. post by @JeffDean
14. post by @OriolVinyalsML
15. post by @OriolVinyalsML
16. post by @addyosmani
17. post by @swyx
18. post by @fchollet
19. post by @gdb
20. post by @thsottiaux
21. post by @jeremyphoward
22. post by @PiotrCzapla
23. post by @HamelHusain
24. post by @swyx
25. Best practices from the International Network for Advanced AI Measurement, Evaluation and Science.
26. post by @cstanley
27. post by @jackclarkSF
28. post by @jackclarkSF
29. post by @jackclarkSF
30. post by @jackclarkSF
31. OpenAI's Sam Altman lauds India's AI progress, warns of superintelligence tipping point
32. OpenAI's Sam Altman Bats For Democratisation of AI, Not Centralisation: Altman | N18V | CNBC TV18
33. FULL DISCUSSION: AI Pioneer Bengio Talks Safety, Policy, and Global Impact at India Summit | AQ1B
34. post by @satyanadella
35. post by @elonmusk
36. post by @AravSrinivas
37. post by @jeffgrimes9
38. r/MachineLearning post by u/IfUDontLikeBigRedFU
39. r/MachineLearning post by u/Mr-wabbit0
40. post by @rasbt